

Testimony of the Environmental Council of the States Before the

House Committee on Appropriations Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies

Addressing the FY20 Budget for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency March 15, 2019

Dear Chairwoman McCollum, Ranking Member Joyce and members of the Subcommittee,

The Environmental Council of the States (ECOS) is the national nonprofit, nonpartisan association of state and territorial environmental agency leaders. We, its undersigned Officers, submit this testimony on Fiscal Year 2020 (FY20) appropriations for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

State environmental agencies are the engines of environmental progress in our nation. Under America's system of cooperative federalism, agencies like ours normally take the lead in implementing federal environmental laws like the Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, Safe Drinking Water Act, and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. Today, states exercise over 90 percent of the delegable authorities under these and other federal laws. You can learn more about the tangible progress the states have delivered on ECOS Results, our recently launched data visualization portal.

State environmental agencies depend on federal funding to do their work; ECOS has documented that the federal government provides, on average, 27 percent of our agencies' budgets. Without that money, state agencies would find it more challenging to properly administer federal environmental laws, improve public health, and protect the environment. ECOS therefore asks that Fiscal Year 2020 appropriations provide sustained support to programs that advance the well-being of our communities.

Please consider these principles as you deliberate about the FY 2020 appropriations. Please also consider the following specific requests:

Increase State and Tribal Assistance (STAG) Categorical Grants.

STAG Categorical Grants fund a huge range of work by state environmental agencies. Much of that work is core implementation activity such as issuing environmental permits, inspecting facilities and enforcing the law, setting standards, and managing data. But categorical grants, including those that support voluntary and community-based programs, also fund creative solutions to local problems.

For example, STAG funds issued under Section 319 of the Clean Water Act recently helped Wyoming's Department of Environmental Quality (WDEQ) partner with The Nature Conservancy (TNC) and various other private and public stakeholders in Northwest Wyoming to

improve the <u>Grass Creek/Cottonwood Creek</u> watershed. Historic grazing practices in this area had contributed to degraded rangeland and stream conditions, until the partnership led by TNC installed best management practices with area ranchers and other stakeholders. Data collected by TNC over the course of the project suggest marked decreases in nitrogen and phosphorous, as well as decreased streambank erosion and increases in desirable riparian and rangeland vegetation. In 2018 this project was added to U.S. EPA's <u>Nonpoint Source Success Stories</u>, and also in 2018, Wyoming DEQ used its Section 319 funds to initiate <u>nine similar projects</u>.

STAG Categorical Grants also help our agencies take on larger projects that deliver positive economic benefits for communities. For example, downtown Nashville, TN is home to "The Gulch," a former rail yard which decades of neglect turned into a blighted neighborhood. Due to the use of Voluntary Brownfields Agreements the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) was able to leverage and expand private investment into the neighborhood and ensure a faster and more thorough redevelopment process. The Gulch is now a vibrant, LEED-certified mixed-use neighborhood and a popular local destination for shopping, dining, and entertainment, yielding significant new tax revenue.

STAG support is critical to the continued creativity and vitality of state-led environmental regulation. States therefore thank Congress for preserving STAG Categorical Grants over the past three fiscal years, and ask that Congress further support the program in the FY20 budget.

Continue Funding Environmental Infrastructure via State Revolving Funds.

STAG funds also support state-level investments in the infrastructure that provides our citizens safe drinking water and a clean aquatic environment. Much of that infrastructure is aging or inadequate and the states therefore depend on the funding that Congress provides through the STAG State Revolving Fund (SRF) program. Congress recently reemphasized its support for state water infrastructure with a second consecutive year of supplemental funding through Title IV of the FY19 Consolidated Appropriations Act, but there is still more to be done. The American Society of Civil Engineers estimates that our nation faces more than \$271 billion in wastewater infrastructure needs. The situation is even more staggering on the drinking water side, where the U.S. EPA's most recent assessment cites a \$472.6 billion need for infrastructure investments.

These figures show that the already extensive infrastructure needs continue to grow along with our populations and the advancing age of our existing facilities. ECOS has documented these needs in reports such as our State Water and Wastewater Project Inventory, which describes the top 20 "shovel-ready" water and wastewater projects in each state. States have also shown the impact of these projects on water quality, and have demonstrated creative infrastructure solutions. In late 2018, West Virginia DEQ became the first state in its region to obligate its FY18 Clean Water SRF money: \$50 million that will go to restore and upgrade wastewater and stormwater infrastructure and extend sewer service to two previously unserved areas. On the drinking water side, Alaska and Connecticut recently set up micro-loan programs with specially streamlined requirements to expand SRF loan access to the smallest of public water systems. In Alaska these small systems are commonly found in remote native Alaskan villages, and since small systems have not typically had SRF access these new micro-loans will have an even

greater proportionate positive effect on public health. Congress should continue funding projects like these so that states can continue to serve as the laboratories of our democracy.

Preserve the STAG Multipurpose Grant Program.

Under cooperative federalism, states gain the authority to allocate federal resources in ways that reflect local needs and priorities. State agencies cannot deliver on this promise unless Congress ensures flexibility in federal funding. Funding flexibility also streamlines joint decisionmaking by EPA and states, and ultimately allows states to more quickly convert federal dollars into positive environmental and public health results.

States used 2016 Multipurpose Grant money to fund activities ranging from implementing the National Ambient Air Quality Standards to improving electronic data management systems, and to control everything from water pollution to pesticide overuse. ECOS understands that the FY18 and FY19 funds will be obligated to states in tandem, meaning that a large investment in priority state programs is on the horizon. States are appreciative that Congress established this program in 2016, and we urge you to appropriate a third consecutive year of Multipurpose Grant funds in FY 2020; making this grant program a dependable funding stream would allow states to deploy that money in ways that maximize the long-term benefit to their citizens.

Avoid Rescission and Impoundment of STAG Funds.

States work closely with EPA through ECOS' State Grants Subgroup to speed the distribution of federal funds and allow on-the-ground work to begin sooner. Our experiences lead us to urge Congress not to include rescissions of unobligated STAG funds in future enacted budgets, as this often results in uncertainty and delays in obligating pass-through funding. For the same reason, States ask Congress to discourage impoundment of enacted appropriations.

Conclusion

ECOS appreciates the fact that Congress is considering the views of state environmental agencies as it prepares the FY20 budget. We welcome the opportunity to speak with you about any of these issues in more detail, or to further explain how federal funding can support state-level work to protect human health and the environment. Please don't hesitate to call us at 202-266-4920, email our executive director at dwelsh@ecos.org, or send mail to us at 1250 H Street NW, Suite 850, Washington D.C. 20005.

Becky Keogh, Director, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

ECOS President

Beely WKeogh



Jim Macy, Director, Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality ECOS Vice President

Todd Parfitt, Director, Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality ECOS Past President

* * * * *