

Copyright © 2012, the Environmental Council of the States. Permission is granted for our members to copy for state government purposes.

STATUS OF STATE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCY BUDGETS, 2011-2013

September 2012

By R. Steven Brown, Executive Director, and Layne Piper and Sally Amezquita, Interns, Environmental Council of the States

STATEMENT OF ISSUE

This report reviews state environmental agency budgets for fiscal years (FY) 2011 through 2013. It focuses on trends in and changes to the budgets, including analysis of the three main funding sources: state general funds, federal funding, and fees or other sources.¹

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ECOS obtained the FY 2011 to FY 2013 budgets for most state environmental agencies. We were able to analyze data for agencies of 47 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico (hereafter referred to as "states"). The average of all state environmental agency budgets decreased from FY 2011 to FY 2012, and budget projections for FY 2013 indicate a similar trend. The total decline in state environmental agency budgets from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is \$17,493,768, averaging \$357,015 per state. This average decline indicates that the 24 states with decreasing budgets saw larger changes than the 25 states with increasing budgets for that year

In addition to comparing average budgets for these years, this report analyzes fluctuations in budget sources. The largest funding source for state environmental agencies is permit fees. The second largest source is federal funding, and the smallest contribution is from state general funds. During FY 2011 and FY 2012, fees contributed roughly 60% of all agency budgets compared to about 30% from the federal government.

^{1 &}quot;Fees and other sources" will hereafter be referred to as "fees." This classification is comprised mainly of fees, such as those permit applicants must pay to have a permit reviewed.

INTRODUCTION

In this report, ECOS evaluates budget trends by observing states' funding sources for FY 2011 through FY 2013. States and their respective environmental agencies operate the majority of federally delegated programs, so having adequate funds to implement environmental rules is a major concern of states.

This report compares results with a previous *ECOS Green Report* about the state environmental agency budgets from FY 2009 to FY 2011, published in August 2010.² We seek to examine changes in state environmental agencies' budgets since the period explored in the previous report. The two reports follow a similar format by providing budget data over a three-year period and identifying trends. Direct comparisons with the data from the earlier report are difficult because this report includes SRF funds in total budgets, whereas the 2010 report analyzes data without SRF funds because not all states could provide it. An overall trend of decreasing budgets – an effect of the economic downturn documented in the 2010 report – remains the trend for total funding for state environmental agencies for FY 2011-2013.

METHODOLOGY

In May 2012, ECOS began collecting budget data for the environmental agencies of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. After collecting data from agency websites, ECOS sent a survey to each state for corrections, additions, and comments. In the survey, we requested the total environmental agency budget, the budget status, whether or not it included State Revolving Loan Funds (SRFs), and the percentages of the budget from the state general fund, the federal government, and fees or other sources.

We asked that states include SRF in budget data if possible, particularly if those funds are appropriated to the environmental agency. For states that did not include SRF funds in the total budget, we added the state's FY 2011 and FY 2012 SRF allotments to the FY 2011 and FY 2012 budgets. These data were added to facilitate comparison among states, and also to more fully represent monetary commitments to those states' environmental objectives.

Once the corrected data were gathered, we calculated the overall averages, observed the number of states with budget decreases and increases across each year, and analyzed changes in funding source percentages. The data for each state are provided in the appendix, allowing for comparison between states and with national averages. The FY 2011 budgets are actual expenditures, the FY 2012 budgets are in progress, and FY 2013 budgets are generally projections. After collecting available data for the three years, we can only draw conclusions about the actual budget numbers for FY 2011 and FY 2012.

2 Brown, R. Steven; Fishman, Adam, "Status of State Environmental Agency Budgets, 2009-2011," August 2010.

³ In some states the SRFs are not distributed by the environmental agency, and so our survey respondent often did not have that data. We used data from U.S. EPA records of SRF funds granted to each state per fiscal year to determine the SRF figures for each state.

Because only some states have estimates including SRF for FY 2013, we separate those states' budget data to include some findings for FY 2013 projections.

When states provide "budget" numbers instead of "actual expenditures," as is done in this report for FY 2013, they are usually listing the maximum possible expenditures for the agency. These budgets represent the optimal spending plan for the agency. It is possible that many states will be unable to produce enough revenue in 2013 to cover the expenditures laid out in their budgets. In this case, these states will most likely cut the general fund section of the budgets during the fiscal year, or perhaps will receive less federal funding. Therefore, the numbers in this report for FY 2013 are in essence the best-case scenarios for state budgets for that year.

FINDINGS

Table 1
Combined Budget Data for 49 States

	FY 2011 ⁴	FY 2012	FY 2013 ⁵
Sum of Environmental Agency	\$11,163,972,655	\$11,146,478,887	\$9,739,443,673
Budgets			
Average Budget per State	\$227,836,176	\$227,479,161	\$207,222,206
States with Budget Decrease	-	24	36
States with Budget Increase	-	25	9
States with Unchanged Budget	-	0	2
Avg. % from General Fund ⁶	13.11 %	13.65 %	13.48 %
Avg. % from Federal	29.66 %	29.36 %	25.01 %
Government			
Avg. % from Fees, Other ⁷	57.23 %	56.99 %	61.50 %
Total from General Funds ⁸	\$1,463,434,827	\$1,521,571,154	\$1,313,282,147
Total from Federal Government	\$3,311,773,610	\$3,272,998,841	\$2,436,253,216
Total from Fees, Other ⁹	\$6,388,863,793	\$6,351,964,830	\$5,989,857,372

_

⁴ FY 2011 and FY 2012 data include 47 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. We were unable to obtain data for Florida, Iowa, and New Mexico.

⁵ Information for FY 2013 is based on projections from states, but does not indicate an official budget. Additionally, SRF allocations are not yet determined for FY 2013, so the federal government contribution section does not have complete information. Mississippi and Oklahoma were unable to provide data for FY 2013, so data for this year are adjusted to represent 45 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

6 The average percentages from general funds, federal government, and fees or other sources are calculated

⁶ The average percentages from general funds, federal government, and fees or other sources are calculated as the percent of total contributions across all states for those categories.

^{7 &}quot;Average Percent from Fees, Other" is mainly comprised of fees, such as the ones permit applicants must pay to have a permit reviewed.

⁸ The totals from general funds, federal government, and fees or other sources are calculated using the percentages for those contributions given to ECOS by each state. In some cases, those percentages were rounded figures that consequently did not add up to exactly 100%, but instead totaled 99.99% or 100.01%. As a result, the sum of the three numbers in the above "totals" sections for one year does not equal exactly the sum of environmental agency budgets listed for that year.

^{9 &}quot;Total from Fees, Other" is comprised mainly of fees, such as ones permit applicants must pay to have a permit reviewed.

The figures discussed in this section may be found in Table 1 above. Overall, these data show a decrease in state environmental agency funding from FY 2011 to FY 2012. The total decline in state environmental agency budgets from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is \$17,493,768, averaging \$357,015 per state.

More state budgets increase than decrease from FY 2011 to FY 2012, as shown in Table 1, which gives the combined totals and averages of all data for 49 states. The total combined data of all the surveyed states shows budget increases for 25 states from FY 2011 to FY 2012, and budget decreases for the remaining 24 states. The average budget decrease to \$227,479,161 in FY 2012, down slightly from \$227,836,176 in FY2011, shows that while a slight majority of states have budget increases that year, those increases are outweighed by larger decreases in the remaining states.

The funding for all states from the three sources – general funds, federal government, and fees or other – is presented in Table 1 in percentage form and numerically. While funding sources vary from state to state, the average percentages do not change a great deal from year to year. For example, the percent of all agency budgets that comes from fees is nearly 60% in FY 2011 and FY 2012, almost doubling the percent contributed by the federal government for those years. Contributions from general funds stay relatively constant at about 13% for each year, with a slight increase and a projected decrease in FY 2012 and FY 2013, respectively. Without complete data for federal government contributions for FY 2013, it is difficult to determine how percentages may change in that year.

The only increasing average figure in this study is the amount of money from state general funds, which increases from FY 2011 to FY 2012 by approximately \$60 million dollars across all states. However, the amount of money projected from all state general funds decreases in FY 2013 by a combined total of just over \$200 million, according to a mix of proposed and enacted state budgets. Percentages and totals of funds coming from the federal government and fees decrease in FY 2012 and are projected to decrease in FY 2013 as well.

Of the 25 states with increasing budgets in FY 2012, 20 of them have increasing funds from the federal government, 21 have increasing funds from fees and other sources, and only 11 have increasing contributions from the general fund. Of the 24 states with decreasing budgets in FY 2012, 19 of them also have decreasing contributions from the federal government, 16 have decreasing funds from fees and other sources, and 15 have decreased contributions from the general fund.

The most noticeable relationship is between state environmental agency budget fluctuations and federal funding. Funding from fees and other sources increases and decreases with the overall budget most of the time as well. The general fund is the least likely to change with overall state environmental agency budgets.

4

The numbers in Table 1 give a sense of overall budget trends in state environmental agencies. More specific observations can be made by separately analyzing the states with increasing and decreasing budgets for FY 2012. The specific contributions for each state are presented in the appendix.

According to Table 1, the projection for the average budget decrease per state from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is \$20,256,955. This large difference may be attributed to some degree to the fact that only budget projections, rather than official figures, are currently available for FY 2013. Information regarding FY 2013 federal government contributions is incomplete because the year's SRF allocations have not yet been determined. However, given the overall declining contributions of funds from state general funds and fees, it seems likely that the trend of declining state budgets will apply in FY 2013 if this trend continues. Two states do not have FY 2013 projections available, and two projected budgets match existing FY 2012 budgets exactly.

Table 2 20 States with FY 2013 Projections that Include SRF¹⁰

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 ¹¹
Sum of Environmental Agency			
Budgets	\$4,519,033,941	\$4,588,800,230	\$4,912,243,523
Average Budget per State	\$225,951,697	\$229,440,012	\$245,612,176
Avg. % from General Fund ¹²	11.94%	12.76%	11.82%
Avg. % from Federal Gov.	37.03%	34.46%	29.62%
Avg. % from Fees, Other ¹³	51.03%	52.78%	58.56%
Total from General Funds ¹⁴	\$539,660,986	\$585,522,917	\$580,707,950
Total from Federal Government	\$1,673,318,216	\$1,581,246,220	\$1,454,857,207
Total from Fees, Other ¹⁵	\$2,306,190,640	\$2,422,002,242	\$2,876,644,718

5

_

¹⁰ By complete projections, we are indicating that these states include expectations for SRF money in their FY 2013 data.

¹¹ Information for FY 2013 is based on projections from 20 states, but does not indicate an official budget. Additionally, SRF allocations are not yet determined for FY 2013, so the federal government contribution section is only what states have predicted.

¹² The average percentages from general funds, federal government, and fees or other sources are calculated as the percent of total contributions across all states for those categories.

^{13 &}quot;Average Percent from Fees, Other" is comprised mainly of fees, such as the ones permit applicants must pay to have a permit reviewed.

¹⁴ The totals from general funds, federal government, and fees or other sources are calculated using the percentages for those contributions given to ECOS by each state. In some cases, those percentages were rounded figures that consequently did not add up to exactly 100%, but instead totaled 99.99% or 100.01%. As a result, the sum of the three numbers in the above "totals" sections for one year does not equal exactly the sum of environmental agency budgets listed for that year.

^{15 &}quot;Total from Fees, Other" is comprised mainly of fees, such as ones permit applicants must pay to have a permit reviewed.

Because of the incomplete data for FY 2013, we separated the states that included SRF estimates from those without to provide an estimate for average state budget projections in FY 2013. These figures are found above in Table 2. Although these 20 states' combined data show average budget increases, our data in Table 1 show that this is not the case overall. We use these states' data to look at changes in predicted budget sources for FY 2013. Of these 20 states, nine projected an increase in general fund contributions, seven projected a decrease, and four projected the same contribution. For federal contributions, only six expect an increase, while 12 states expect the amount to decrease. The remaining two states predict it will stay the same. Eleven states project increased fees, seven expect decreasing fees, and two stay the same. This shows that a slight majority of those states with budget projections for FY 2013 expect federal funding to decrease while fees increase.

CONCLUSION

State environmental agency budgets, despite a small average increase in contributions from state general funds in FY 2012, are declining from FY 2011 to FY 2012. Because exact figures are not yet available for FY2013, existing data that suggests another year of declining budgets can only support guesswork. We can say that of states predicting FY 2013 budgets that include SRF expectations, the majority expect to increase fees and contributions from the state general fund while receiving less federal funding. States currently receive a majority of funds for their environmental agencies from state-imposed fees or other sources, a smaller amount from the federal government, and the smallest contribution from state general funds. Decreasing funds from the federal government jeopardize states' ability to implement federally delegated programs and policies.

APPENDIX

The following tables show budget data for each state represented in this report.

Alabama

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added) ¹⁶	\$86,511,168	\$90,605,689	\$63,364,884
Status of Budget	Actual	Approved	House Passed
Amount of Budget from SRF ¹⁷	\$28,352,000	\$27,088,000	
% from General Fund	9.10%	6.00%	4.70%
% from Federal Government	39.80%	35.30%	34.20%
% from Fees, Other	51.10%	58.80%	61.10%

_

¹⁶ For all Appendix tables, the note "(SRF Added)" in this row indicates that this environmental agency did not include SRFs in its budget calculations. For purposes of comparison between states, we have added those funds to the budget amounts in this row. Those amounts are calculated from EPA data and found in the "Amount of Budget from SRF" row.

¹⁷ For all Appendix tables, any dollar amount listed in this row represents a number calculated from EPA data on Drinking Water and Clean Water SRF allotments. Totals found in this row have been included in the above "Environmental Agency Budget (SRF Added)" amount. If SRF funds were already included in a state's budget calculations, this row will read "Included."

ΑI	as	ka
----	----	----

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$91,404,400	\$97,528,900	\$83,552,300
Status of Budget	Actual	Authorized	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$18,345,000	\$17,519,000	1 1000000
% from General Fund	60.19%	57.77%	57.49%
% from Federal Government	26.54%	29.01%	29.00%
% from Fees, Other	13.27%	13.22%	13.50%
76 HOITH Ees, Other	13.27 /0	13.22 /0	13.30 /6
Arizona			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Environmental Agency Budget	\$383,088,900	\$351,610,300	\$351,610,300
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	1.83%	1.99%	1.99%
% from Federal Government	9.29%	5.85%	5.85%
% from Fees, Other	88.88%	92.16%	92.16%
70 mem r ees, euror	33.3370	0211070	02.1070
Arkansas			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$110,723,336	\$108,581,950	\$108,848,774
<i>,</i> , ,	Audit-in-		
Status of Budget	Progress	Final	Adopted
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	4.20%	4.70%	4.70%
% from Federal Government	21.20%	18.90%	19.30%
% from Fees, Other	74.60%	76.40%	76.00%
California			
	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$1,666,922,000	\$1,655,859,000	\$1,306,741,000
Status of Budget	Enacted	Enacted	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$194,766,000	\$186,058,000	
% from General Fund	5.21%	3.46%	3.60%
% from Bonds	20.01%	25.11%	16.30%
% from Fees, Other	74.78%	71.43%	80.10%
Calarada			
Colorado	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Agonov Budget (SDE Added)			
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$87,121,712	\$85,837,220	\$63,967,456
Status of Budget	Appropriated	Appropriated	Appropriated
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$28,636,000	\$27,339,000	0.000/
% from General Fund	4.85%	4.30%	3.90%
% from Federal Government	42.76%	38.40%	39.90%
% from Fees, Other	52.39%	57.30%	56.20%

	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Aganay Budget (CDE Added)		\$145,013,817	
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$158,049,514	. , ,	\$111,440,507
Status of Budget	Enacted	Current	Recommended ¹⁸
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$27,691,000	\$26,464,000	
% from General Fund	41.29%	63.13%	60.78%
% from Federal Government	27.79%	16.56%	17.62%
% from Fees, Other	30.92%	20.31%	21.61%
Delaware			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$165,150,300	\$157,366,600	\$141,681,700
Status of Budget	Actual	Budgeted	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	
% from General Fund	17.16%	13.22%	14.88%
% from Federal Government	57.73%	28.21%	26.39%
% from Fees, Other	25.11%	58.57%	58.73%
76 Helli 1 eee, eulei	20.1170	00.07,0	33.7 370
District of Columbia			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$87,720,000	\$101,273,000	\$94,258,000
Status of Budget	Actual	Approved	Approved
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$16,740,000	\$15,983,000	
% from General Fund	52.70%	59.30%	69.42%
% from Federal Government	46.14%	40.05%	28.97%
% from Fees, Other	1.15%	0.65%	1.61%
Georgia			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$172,747,733	\$165,947,063	\$121,139,089
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Appropriated
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$47,473,000	\$45,345,000	FF -1
% from General Fund	22.55%	24.22%	25.80%
% from Federal Government	30.91%	28.49%	27.13%
% from Fees, Other	46.54%	47.29%	47.08%
70 Helli 1 eee, eulei	10.0 170	17.2070	17.0070
Hawaii	EV 0044	EV 0040	EV 0040
Face Assess Declarat	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$256,223,117	\$257,348,104	\$257,344,104
Status of Budget	Actual	Current	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	0.95%	1.01%	1.01%
% from Federal Government	67.75%	67.66%	67.66%
% from Fees, Other	31.30%	31.33%	31.33%

¹⁸ Governor's Recommendation.

ld	a	h	O

ldaho			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$71,829,000	\$78,109,700	\$61,954,400
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Appropriated
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$16,740,000	\$15,983,000	FF -F
% from General Fund	25.52%	22.21%	23.04%
% from Federal Government	56.33%	57.45%	58.19%
% from Fees, Other	18.15%	20.34%	18.77%
% Hom Fees, Other	10.1370	20.34 /0	10.77 /0
Illinois			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$279,191,300	\$288,578,700	\$289,149,100
Status of Budget	Final	Enacted	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	0%	0%	0%
% from Federal Government	24.91%	24.36%	22.75%
% from Fees, Other	75.09%	75.64%	77.25%
Indiana			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$186,688,074	\$184,017,715	\$134,642,715
Status of Budget	Actual	Final	Final
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$51,656,000	\$49,375,000	illai
% from General Fund	17.50%	18.10%	18.10%
% from Federal Government	18.80%	17.80%	17.80%
	63.70%	64.10%	64.10%
% from Fees, Other	03.70%	64.10%	04.10%
Vanaa			
Kansas	EV 0044	EV 0040	EV 0040
5 A 5 L .	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$72,538,778	\$74,133,338	\$72,603,904
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	5.00%	6.00%	5.00%
% from Federal Government	40.00%	39.00%	38.00%
% from Fees, Other	55.00%	55.00%	57.00%
Kentucky			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$135,353,338	\$145,510,600	\$110,901,200
Status of Budget	Actual	Current	Enacted
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$32,579,000	\$31,125,000	
% from General Fund	20.92%	18.08%	18.54%
% from Federal Government	24.15%	22.81%	20.94%
% from Fees, Other	54.94%	59.12%	60.52%
•			

OI	Ш	SI	а	n	а

	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$151,360,857	\$163,360,754	\$118,765,439
Status of Budget	Actual	Operating	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$34,195,000	\$32,656,000	
% from General Fund	0%	0.19%	0.21%
% from Federal Government	16.20%	19.33%	19.19%
% from Fees, Other	83.80%	80.48%	80.60%
,			
Maine			
	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$97,720,145	\$97,463,356	\$77,816,748
Status of Budget	Enacted	Budgeted	Budgeted
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$20,964,000	\$20,026,000	
% from General Fund	7.60%	9.20%	8.70%
% from Federal Government	23.30%	23.30%	23.50%
% from Fees, Other	69.10%	67.50%	67.80%
Maryland	E)/ 0044	F)/ 0040	EV 0040
5 A B	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$256,837,522	\$288,511,057	\$493,629,433
Status of Budget	Actual	Appropriated	Allowed
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	12.74%	10.69%	6.37%
% from Federal Government	22.50%	31.86%	16.49%
% from Fees, Other	64.75%	57%	77.14%
Massachusetts			
Wassacrusetts	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$96,432,000	\$102,461,000	\$109,169,840
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	51.27%	50.39%	50.82%
% from Federal Government	27.53%	27.28%	25.06%
% from Fees, Other	21.29%	22.33%	24.12%
70 Hom Fees, Other	21.2070	22.0070	27.1270
Michigan			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$467,685,200	\$428,987,100	\$431,429,900
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Enacted
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	5.20%	6.60%	6.90%
% from Federal Government	39.70%	37.50%	37.50%
% from Fees, Other	55.10%	55.90%	55.60%

_						
	A :	 	_	_	_	ta

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$241,851,000	\$232,076,000	\$175,070,000
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$43,220,000	\$41,301,000	
% from General Fund	5.25%	2.51%	2.90%
% from Federal Government	12.92%	16.63%	12.50%
% from Fees, Other	81.83%	80.86%	84.60%
Mississippi			
••	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$282,667,171	\$281,291,022	
Status of Budget	Actual	Estimated	
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$23,240,000	\$22,203,000	
% from General Fund	4.30%	4.17%	
% from Federal Government	58.12%	64.71%	
% from Fees, Other	37.57%	31.11%	
Missouri ¹⁹			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$332,525,551	\$264,770,210	\$505,528,883
Status of Budget	Final	Final	Final
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	2.46%	3.06%	1.62%
% from Federal Government	34.45%	18.19%	10.14%
% from Fees, Other	63.09%	78.75%	88.24%
Montana			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$75,941,557	\$74,209,820	\$58,222,952
Status of Budget	Enacted	Current	Budgeted
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$16,740,000	\$15,983,000	
% from General Fund	9.19%	9.38%	9.39%
% from Federal Government	38.88%	37.14%	37.12%
% from Fees, Other	51.92%	53.46%	53.47%

¹⁹ Missouri Data Notes: The data includes environmental operating budgets as well as environmental related ARRA expenditures. It does not include fringe benefits or administrative costs (including information technology) that are budgeted in other agencies' budgets. Overall, the department's environmental budget grew from approximately \$264 million in 2012 to \$505 million in 2013. This primarily resulted from the Missouri General Assembly's removal of estimated appropriations, necessitating increased appropriation levels in many areas, primarily pass through programs - many of which involve multi-year projects. In order to obligate, encumber and pay all outstanding commitments when due, the appropriation levels had to be increased.

Nebraska			
	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$69,263,520	\$70,177,716	\$68,214,446
Status of Budget	Expended	Current	Enacted
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	12.51%	11.28%	8.40%
% from Federal Government	55.20%	42.38%	43.54%
% from Fees, Other	32.29%	46.34%	48.06%
Nevada			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$95,045,027	\$78,853,147	\$82,617,731
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Projected
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	0%	0%	0%
% from Federal Government	46%	46%	36%
% from Fees, Other	54%	54%	64%
New Hampshire			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Environmental Agency Budget	\$225,939,534	\$210,905,558	\$202,450,251
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	8.89%	8.32%	7.72%
% from Federal Government	31.93%	36.74%	37.80%
% from Fees, Other	59.18%	54.94%	54.48%
New Jersey			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$145,635,000	\$178,138,000	\$196,236,000
Status of Budget	Actual	Appropriated	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	72.63%	56.04%	56.65%
% from Federal Government	11.03%	32.21%	32.54%
% from Fees, Other	16.33%	11.75%	10.80%
New York			
	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$735,172,855	\$793,843,946	\$666,526,514
Status of Budget	Actual	Actual	Projected
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$226,694,000	\$216,715,000	
Of frame Canada Timed	00.000/	04.000/	00.000/

% from General Fund

% from Fees, Other

% from Federal Government

28.00%

15.00%

57.00%

31.00%

17.00%

52.00%

28.00%

15.00%

57.00%

North Ca	roli	na
----------	------	----

Horar Garonna			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$390,815,728	\$393,512,955	\$388,875,321
Status of Budget	Final	Current	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	20.25%	33.21%	32.69%
% from Federal Government	49.93%	36.45%	36.89%
% from Fees, Other	29.82%	30.34%	30.42%
North Dakota			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$23,285,782	\$24,077,698	\$24,077,698
Status of Budget	Actual	Current	Requested
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	16.72%	14.33%	14.33%
% from Federal Government	71.42%	72.37%	72.37%
% from Fees, Other	11.86%	13.30%	13.30%
70 Helli 1 000, Outer	1110070	10.0070	10.0070
Ohio			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$292,316,980	\$296,703,194	\$183,814,494
Status of Budget	Actual	Enacted	Enacted
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$114,230,000	\$109,207,000	21.40104
% from General Fund	7.17%	6.95%	7.20%
% from Federal Government	18.44%	18.75%	18.37%
% from Fees, Other	74.39%	74.31%	74.42%
70 Hom 1 000, Othor	7 4.00 70	74.0170	74.4270
Oklahoma			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$52,275,000	\$70,655,000	
Status of Budget	Actual	Budgeted	
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	
% from General Fund	14.40%	11.70%	
% from Federal Government	24.02%	30.38%	
% from Fees, Other	61.57%	57.91%	
70 HOIN 1 000, Callor	01.0170	0110170	
Oregon			
3	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$103,385,856	\$102,368,370	\$102,386,370
Status of Budget	Approved	Balanced	Balanced
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	15.90%	14.15%	14.15%
% from Federal Government	17.40%	15.92%	15.92%
% from Fees, Other	66.70%	69.93%	69.93%
	33 370	30.0070	00.0070

Pennsylvania			
•	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$793,386,000	\$678,624,000	\$607,087,000
Status of Budget	Actual	Available	Budgeted
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	18.11%	20.21%	20.85%
% from Federal Government	49%	39.58%	36.84%
% from Fees, Other	32.89%	40.21%	42.31%
Puerto Rico			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$51,777,000	\$49,121,000	\$39,647,000
Status of Budget	Spent	Adopted	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	1.35%	11.48%	13.30%
% from Federal Government	68.30%	61.13%	54.29%
% from Fees, Other	30.50%	27.39%	32.41%
Rhode Island			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$46,012,443	\$50,925,716	\$31,357,988
Status of Budget	Actual	Enacted	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$19,433,000	\$18,561,000	
% from General Fund	43.90%	37.40%	36.90%
% from Federal Government	36.40%	41.50%	39.60%
% from Fees, Other	19.70%	21.10%	23.50%
South Carolina			
	FY 2010-2011	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$89,438,488	\$102,519,996	\$84,167,698
Status of Budget	Actual	Current	Estimated
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$24,698,000	\$23,600,000	
% from General Fund	18.76%	12.52%	16.79%
% from Federal Government	42.12%	42.69%	36.74%
% from Fees, Other	39.12%	44.79%	46.47%
South Dakota			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$54,258,387	\$49,628,260	\$21,668,089
Status of Budget	Actual	Budgeted	Budgeted
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$16,740,000	\$15,983,000	
Of facing Operation Francis	45 400/	45 500/	05 500/

% from General Fund

% from Fees, Other

% from Federal Government

15.48%

77.16%

7.36%

15.53%

58.79%

25.68%

25.50%

33.72%

40.77%

	es		

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$116,938,600	\$143,926,100	\$140,239,200
Status of Budget	Approved	Approved	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Included
% from General Fund	18.13%	15.76%	14.21%
% from Federal Government	18.80%	16.87%	15.54%
% from Fees, Other	63.08%	67.37%	70.24%
Texas			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$650,228,000	\$476,291,000	\$325,600,000
Status of Budget	Actual	Current	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$128,028,000	\$122,291,000	
% from General Fund	2.77%	1.69%	1.84%
% from Federal Government	8.23%	12.43%	12.10%
% from Fees, Other	89.00%	85.88%	86.06%
Utah	=> / 00 / /	5) (00 (0	E) (00 (0
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added)	\$65,942,000	\$69,905,400	\$52,714,700
Status of Budget	Actual	Authorized	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	\$17,277,000	\$16,497,000	
% from General Fund	21.95%	19.84%	21.22%
% from Federal Government	40.28%	39.29%	38.02%
% from Fees, Other	37.77%	40.87%	40.76%
Vermont			
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$71,696,652	\$66,151,955	\$43,098,825
Status of Budget	Actual	Current	Recommended
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	No
% from General Fund	10.30%	12.40%	16.00%
% from Federal Government	66.60%	49.30%	27.00%
% from Fees, Other	23.10%	38.30%	57.00%
,			
Virginia	EV 2044	EV 2012	EV 2042
Env. Agency Budget	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget	\$292,244,526	\$265,153,034	\$241,498,308
Status of Budget	Enacted	Current	Proposed
Amount of Budget from SRF	Included	Included	Partial
% from General Fund ²⁰	11.36%	12.81%	12.76%
% from Federal Government	30.26%	29.65%	25.22%
% from Fees, Other	58.38%	57.54%	62.02%

20 Data for Virginia only indicated percentages for General Fund and non-General Fund. We recalculated the non-General Fund percentage to split it between Federal Government and Fees, Other. To do this we used average percentages from the rest of the states so that Virginia could still be included in overall calculations, although these percentages may not be accurate reflections of percentages of funding sources for the Commonwealth.

Washii	ngton
--------	-------

Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added) Status of Budget Amount of Budget from SRF % from General Fund % from Federal Government % from Fees, Other	FY 2011 \$248,303,014 Actual \$49,983,000 20.91% 18.16% 60.93%	FY 2012 \$263,472,000 Proposed \$47,740,000 15.00% 21.49% 63.51%	FY 2013 \$225,442,000 Proposed 12.82% 24.90% 62.28%
West Virginia	60.93 % FY 2011	63.51% FY 2012	62.26 % FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget Status of Budget Amount of Budget from SRF % from General Fund % from Federal Government % from Fees, Other	\$248,316,190 Actual Included 3.10% 44.91% 51.99%	\$494,113,927 Current Included 1.64% 47.96% 50.40%	\$441,098,268 Enrolled Included 1.84% 40.81% 57.35%
Wisconsin			
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added) Status of Budget Amount of Budget from SRF % from General Fund % from Federal Government % from Fees, Other	FY 2011 \$241,467,800 Actual \$56,561,000 19.00% 20.00% 61.00%	FY 2012 \$251,171,300 Current \$54,068,000 20.00% 16.00% 64.00%	FY 2013 \$197,751,700 Adopted 20.00% 16.00% 64.00%
Wyoming	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Env. Agency Budget (SRF Added) Status of Budget Amount of Budget from SRF	\$46,544,600 Actual \$16,740,000	\$45,787,600 Actual \$15,983,000	\$30,041,444 Current
% from General Fund % from Federal Government % from Fees, Other	47.00% 27.00% 26.00%	47.00% 27.00% 26.00%	51.00% 26.00% 23.00%